SUBSCRIBE TO THE FLAME HOTLINE DONATE TO FLAME



May 26, 2020



Israel's Sovereignty Plans for Judea-Samaria: It's Not Annexation, It's Restoration

Dear Friend of FLAME:

Within months, Israel's new government will begin the process of declaring sovereignty over Jewish settlements in Judea-Samaria (aka the West Bank). According to binding international law, the move is legal. While this legality does not establish its prudence, most Israelis and many American Zionists support it for existential reasons. One of those reasons is survival and another is a renewed chance for peace.

Israel's long-standing intentions on this issue have been spurred by the recent peace plan put forward by the U.S. Israel's declaration of sovereignty would mean the application of Israeli civil law to the Jewish settlements, which are majority Jewish and currently under military rule. The declaration would not in any way jeopardize the future establishment of a Palestinian state.

In fact, the current US peace plan is contingent upon Israel's agreement to negotiate sovereignty for the Palestinians in other parts of Judea-Samaria. Every major peace plan ever put forward has included an incorporation of the major settlement blocks into Israel.

Critics charge that the move would be an illegal annexation of Palestinian land, which Israel captured from Jordan in 1967. However, this charge is based on a perverted reading of Article 49(6) of the Fourth Geneva Convention. The article "prohibits the transfer of segments of the population of a state to the territory of another state which it has occupied."

However, when Israel entered Judea-Samaria in 1967, it was retaking lands it had been granted by the Balfour Declaration, ratified in 1920 at the San Remo Conference. Following a war of Arab aggression, Jordan illegally occupied the territory in 1948—changing the name to the West Bank, and ethnically cleansing the area of Jews.

The legal definition of annexation requires a state to declare sovereignty over territory outside its domain. But since Judea-Samaria was within Israel's legal borders in 1967, with Jordan the illegal occupier, the term annexation is

HOME

SUBSCRIBE

DONATE

PR FOR ISRAEL

HOTLINE ARCHIVE

ABOUT

CONTACT US

THIS MONTH'S AD
APPEARED IN
PUBLICATIONS
REACHING 10 MILLION
READERS





DONATE NOW

IF YOU SUPPORT PUBLISHING FLAME'S BOLD PR MESSAGES, PLEASE HELP US!!

FLAME is the only organization that defends Israel with paid

corruptly misapplied here. It is rather <u>restoration of Israel's legal territory</u>—to say nothing of its ancient biblical homeland.

It's no wonder a recent poll shows a majority of Israeli Jews supporting the sovereignty move. Asserting sovereignty would be a repudiation of decades of failed diplomacy, during which Palestinians have used the lie of Israeli occupation to hijack the peace-process. It would also be Israel's chance to establish true security in the vulnerable Judean Hills and Jordan Valley, surrounding Jerusalem and on Israel's eastern flank.

Most importantly, Palestinian and other Arab leaders have <u>rejected every one</u> of multiple Israeli offers of land for peace since Israel's founding 72 years ago, including a 2000 offer of a state in 97% of Judea-Samaria, all of the Gaza Strip, and a capital in East Jerusalem.

Today's Palestinian leadership shows <u>no indication</u> of abandoning its support of terror or its goal of destroying the Jewish state—irrespective of whether Israel asserts sovereignty or ensures its territorial security.

Any peace must be brokered bilaterally, with two sides negotiating in good faith, and both willing to make concessions. The current U.S. peace plan uniquely recognizes this reality. The Palestinians are again invited to the negotiating table; they have again rebuked the offer.

Above all, Israel cannot be expected to cede any part of Judea-Samaria to a Palestinian state still bent on its destruction. Indeed, Israel would have every right and reason to assert its claim to the land as a <u>precondition</u> of the establishment of Palestinian sovereignty.

If a Palestinian state is formed on the assumption that Israel has no claim to the West Bank, this opens an <u>absurd logic</u> for Palestinians' claims to the rest of Israel—including claims they continue to make to ownership of the entire state of Israel.

The hard fact is, despite considerable *emotional sympathy* for Palestinian Arab aspirations to sovereignty, the group has never controlled a square inch of territory in the Middle East and has <u>no international legal rights</u> to any territory or a state in the Holy Land.

Most importantly, if Judea-Samaria is finally acknowledged to be within Israel's sovereign borders, the world will more plainly see the conflict for what it truly is —a civil war between parties with <u>claims to the same land</u>. It would localize a clash that cynical bodies, like the UN and EU, have internationalized and usurped for political interest.

Remember, the UN is only empowered to pass nonbinding resolutions, which are not held to any legal standards. Its affiliated courts, the ICC and the ICJ, also have <u>no legal jurisdiction</u>—and neither the U.S. or Israel are members of these bodies. Still, because of their perceived credibility, the media and Israel's critics dishonestly present their recommendations as "international law."

In 2012, the UN made the disastrous move of unilaterally recognizing Palestine as a "non-member observer state." In 2016, the UN Security Council passed Resolution 2334, which ruled, in <u>violation of actual international law</u>, that Israel had illegally occupied the West Bank in 1967. This misbegotten resolution was

editorial hasbarah messages placed in media nationwide every month: The dire threats from Iran, Hamas and Hizbollah, the injustice of BDS, Palestinian anti-Semitism and more. If you support a bold voice that tells the truth about Israel in American media, please donate now.

DONATE NOW »



SUBSCRIBE FREE

FLAME'S WEEKLY
HOTLINE ENEWSLETTER



FLAME's Hotline e-newsletter keeps you up to date on the most important pro-Israel advocacy issues and features our choice of the week's most informative and thought-provoking article on Israel and the Middle East. If you only subscribe to one pro-Israel news service, make it the FLAME Hotline.

SUBSCRIBE NOW »

notoriously allowed to pass without veto by the Obama administration.

In the 2019-2020 session of the UN General Assembly, the EU states voted for 13 resolutions condemning Israel, and 7 resolutions on the rest of the world. None of these were against the world's worst human rights violators, including Syria, China and Saudi Arabia. All of the resolutions passed against Israel were based on the <u>lie of illegal occupation</u>. None was binding or grounded in law or fact.

What's more, Israel's sovereignty move has drawn criticism from political operatives with no enforceable power.

Thirty former national security officials in Democratic administrations drafted a letter criticizing Israeli "annexation," West Bank "occupation" and settlement expansion.

EU foreign policy chief, Joseph Burrell, has said that the "European Union does not recognize Israeli sovereignty over the occupied West Bank"—though a handful of member states <u>refused to endorse it</u>. Eleven European ambassadors to Israel have followed suit, and the International Criminal Court has warned that annexation would spur an investigation.

Nonetheless, both inside and outside of Israel, many support the sovereignty plan as a recalibration—an opportunity to reset the roadmap to one based on truth.

As US Ambassador to Israel David Friedman has observed, most of the world's nations do not condemn it, including many in the Middle East. The current moment may thus be one of specific opportunity, with certain Arab states warming to the idea of <u>cooperation with Israel</u>.

The lie of Israeli occupation has not only stalled the peace process and jeopardized the lives of Israeli and Palestinian civilians, but also <u>fomented violence</u> against international Jewry.

When discussing this issue with friends, family and colleagues, I hope you'll clarify that declaring sovereignty should be Israel's decision, based on what is best for its security and future. But even if Israel decides against the prudence of the move, it must firmly uphold that it is legal. Because if binding international law does not dictate a country's borders, then its enemies will. And this cannot stand in the case of Israel.

I hope you'll also take a minute, while you have this material front and center, to visit FLAME's lively <u>new Facebook page</u> and review the P.S. immediately below. It describes FLAME's new *hasbarah* campaign—which provides even more arguments for maintaining strong sanctions against our enemy, Iran.

Best regards,

Keren Toledano

Contributing Writer, Facts and Logic About the Middle East (FLAME)

P.S. Some leftist European and American politicians have recently proposed easing sanctions on Iran as a humanitarian gesture in the face of Covid-19's devastating attack on the Islamic Republic. However, this would

be an egregious mistake, just as tough U.S. sanctions are pressuring Iran to back off its imperialistic adventures across the Middle East especially since U.S. sanctions do not restrict Iran from acquiring humanitarian medical supplies. That's why FLAME has created a new hasbarah message called "Keep the Pressure on Iran" I hope you'll review this convincing, fact-based paid editorial, which ran in the Sunday New York Times and Washington Post. It lays out the many dangers that Iran poses to the U.S., Israel and our other allies in the region and spells out why in fact we should consider increasing sanctions on Iran. This piece will also be sent to all members of Congress, Vice President Pence and President Trump. If you agree that this kind of public relations effort on Israel's behalf is critical, I urge you to support us. Remember: FLAME's powerful ability to influence public opinion—and U.S. support of Israel—comes from individuals like you, one by one. I hope you'll consider giving a donation now, as you're able—with \$500, \$250, \$100, or even \$18. (Remember, your donation to FLAME is tax deductible.) To donate online, just go to donate now. Now, more than ever, we need your support to ensure that the American people, the U.S. Congress and President Trump stay committed to realistic policies in relation to Israel, Iran and the entire Middle East.

As of today, more than 15,000 Israel supporters receive the FLAME Hotline at no charge every week. If you're not yet a subscriber, won't you join us in receiving these timely updates, so you can more effectively tell the truth about Israel? Just go to <u>free subscription</u>.

FLAME

Facts and Logic
About the Middle East
PO Box 3460
Berkeley, CA 94703

Copyright 2020 FLAME. All rights reserved

Unsubscribe