SUBSCRIBE TO THE FLAME HOTLINE DONATE TO FLAME



December 4, 2019



Israel's Communities in Judea/Samaria Have *Always* Been Legal

Dear Friend of FLAME:

The world was shaken up last week by a seismic shift in the American position on Israeli communities in Judea and Samaria, which were only first established after the 1967 Six-Day War. The outrageous thing about the world's reaction—and how long it's taken for America to again support the truth—is that there should never have been any question about the legality of these Jewish towns and cities.

The denunciations of the "new" American policy would be shocking—except for the <u>cynical</u>, <u>deluded</u>, <u>and unfair positions</u> of so many countries around the worldwide in matters concerning Israel and the Middle East.

Secretary Mike Pompeo declared, after significant study by State Department analysts and historians, that the U.S. now officially considers Israeli communities across the 1949 armistice lines in Judea and Samaria were legal under international law. This was a <u>direct repudiation of the Carter position</u>, and restored the considerably saner position first advanced by the Reagan administration and never repudiated thereafter until Obama's waning days in December, 2016.

Since the 1967 armistice, various UN advisory statements and resolutions have condemned the new Jewish communities. The UN and the world community invariably refer to these Jewish communities as "settlements"—a term that conjures the image of scattered log cabins in the wilderness, not booming cities like Ma'ale Adumim or Modi'in Illit, whose population is approaching 75,000.

A short historical summary provides a powerful case for Israel's prevailing legal rights over the area properly known as Judea and Samaria.

This territory fell into Israeli hands as a result of Jordanian—and other Arab states'—aggression in June, 1967, along with the Sinai Peninsula, Gaza, and the Golan Heights. The area of Judea and Samaria—longstanding Biblical names for the area—was renamed by the Jordanian government after the armistice in Israel's 1948 War of Independence as "the West Bank" of the State of Jordan.

HOME

SUBSCRIBE

DONATE

PR FOR ISRAEL

HOTLINE ARCHIVE

ABOUT

CONTACT US

THIS MONTH'S AD
APPEARED IN
PUBLICATIONS
REACHING 10 MILLION
READERS





DONATE NOW

IF YOU SUPPORT
PUBLISHING FLAME'S
BOLD PR MESSAGES,
PLEASE HELP US!!

FLAME is the only organization that defends Israel with paid

No country but Pakistan recognized Jordan's claimed sovereignty over the West Bank, but the "West Bank" name stuck, and that is how the media and most people identify that territory.

A valid question to ask is: Why would the world continue to use that artificial name, which is a vestige of an illegal Jordanian war of aggression in 1948? Answer: Score one for Palestinian propaganda.

Another question: since no major country or world body recognized Jordanian sovereignty over the territory, whose territory is it—whether it's called the West Bank or Judea and Samaria? And that brings you to the nub of the issue of Israeli "settlements in the occupied territories."

The <u>last undisputed sovereign over Judea and Samaria was Great Britain</u>, conferred that status as the Mandatory Power after the defeat of the Ottoman Empire in World War I, established at the 1920 San Remo Conference. Britain's sovereignty was officially declared and secured by the League of Nations the following year.

Great Britain then unilaterally peeled off the part of its Mandate territory east of the Jordan River to create the Hashemite Emirate (later "Kingdom") of Jordan, but Britain maintained sovereignty over the Mandate west of the Jordan River.

After World War II, the United Nations inherited the role of the League of Nations, and under UN Charter Article 80, Britain continued its sovereign Mandatory power in Palestine.

In May, 1948, <u>Britain renounced its Mandate</u>, letting the chips fall where they may.

The entire area that is now Israel and Judea/Samaria lacked a sovereign power—until David Ben-Gurion announced the State of Israel. When Jordan invaded and took control of Judea/Samaria, it asserted its purported sovereignty. Jordan conferred Jordanian citizenship on the stateless Arabs in this territory, to which these Arabs did not object.

But, again under the UN Charter, land acquired through wars of aggression could not be claimed by conquerors as sovereign territory. Virtually no one—including the United Nations—accepted the outrageous Jordanian claim, meaning that Judea and Samaria still lacked a legitimate sovereign power.

By then, the United Nations had inherited the role of the League of Nations—but under UN Charter Article 80, Britain had continued its sovereign Mandatory power in Palestine.

If any nation had a legal right to assert sovereignty there, it was Israel—inheritor of sovereignty over that part of Palestine <u>not stolen by Jordan</u>.

When the UN Security Council passed Resolution 242 after the 1967 war, the UN formalized the armistice between the combatants, and provided rudimentary parameters for a permanent peace. In the entire text, the words "Palestine" and "Palestinian" were not even mentioned. This was because there was no Arab political organization of international standing to assert a right of sovereignty over Judea and Samaria.

editorial hasbarah messages placed in media nationwide every month: The dire threats from Iran, Hamas and Hizbollah, the injustice of BDS, Palestinian anti-Semitism and more. If you support a bold voice that tells the truth about Israel in American media, please donate now.

DONATE NOW >



FLAME'S WEEKLY HOTLINE E-NEWSLETTER



FLAME's Hotline e-newsletter keeps you up to date on the most important pro-Israel advocacy issues and features our choice of the week's most informative and thought-provoking article on Israel and the Middle East. If you only subscribe to one pro-Israel news service, make it the FLAME Hotline.

SUBSCRIBE NOW »

Even the PLO's charter, established three years earlier, <u>renounced any claim of sovereignty on the "West Bank."</u> The only territory that the PLO wanted to "liberate" was the Zionist enterprise in Israel.

Only after Israel's victory in 1967 did the PLO decide that the (former) West Bank area of Jordan was worth liberating.

In short, all that Secretary Pompeo asserted last month is that there is no sovereign power in Judea and Samaria that has standing to object to the establishment of Jewish communities.

Linked to this is his recognition that the Fourth Geneva Convention—which outlawed the forcible relocation of an aggressive conqueror's population into victim territories—was not in any way applicable to <u>voluntary Israeli</u> <u>communities established in an area with no clear sovereign</u>. Indeed, Jordan gave up all sovereign claims to these territories three decades ago.

We can all argue about the *political* value of these Israeli communities, and the difficulty they may present in resolving the overall conflict—but Secretary Pompeo's affirmation of American policy regarding the *legality* of these communities recognizes that <u>Israel has not violated international law in allowing Jews to move to places that are "disputed"—and that were shared by Arabs and Jews prior to Jordan's illegal takeover in 1948, when all Jews were expelled.</u>

As for the Palestinian Arabs, they have no sovereign claim whatsoever since they have never had sovereignty over one square inch of land, anywhere and at any time.

Pompeo's courage in repudiating the <u>nonsensical idea that Jews are legally unwelcome</u> in their indigenous lands should be celebrated by all people with conscience and a knowledge of the history of those lands.

In discussions with friends, family and colleagues, explain that the American action in recognizing the legality of Israeli communities in Judea and Samaria is in no way prohibited under international law. Emphasize that Jews should not be prohibited from moving onto state-controlled lands which are their historical patrimony. And remind them that the only justification for prohibiting Jews from establishing these communities—as the European Union is wont to do—is rank anti-Zionist and anti-Semitic racism.

In addition, I hope you'll also take a minute, while you have this material front and center, to visit FLAME's lively new Facebook page and review the P.S. immediately below. It describes FLAME's new hasbarah campaign—outspokenly questioning the validity and wisdom of the so-called two-state solution.

Best regards,

Ken Cohen

Editor, Facts and Logic About the Middle East (FLAME)

P.S. Many politicians in the U.S., as well as in Western Europe, hold steadfastly to the notion of a two-state solution for resolving the Palestinians' conflict with Israel. However, it's become clear as

stability in the Middle East deteriorates, power balances shift and the Palestinians themselves continue to reject peace initiatives, that a twostate solution may be no solution at all. That's why FLAME has created a new hasbarah message called "Is a Two-State Solution Still Possible?" I hope you'll review this hard-hitting paid editorial, which will run in coming months in the New York Times and Washington Post. It lays out seven tough questions that must be answered before two states can seriously be considered. This piece will also be sent to all members of Congress, Vice President Pence and President Trump. If you agree that this kind of public relations effort on Israel's behalf is critical, I urge you to support us. Remember: FLAME's powerful ability to influence public opinion—and U.S. support of Israel—comes from individuals like you, one by one. I hope you'll consider giving a donation now, as you're able—with \$500, \$250, \$100, or even \$18. (Remember, your donation to FLAME is tax deductible.) To donate online, just go to donate now. Now, more than ever, we need your support to ensure that the American people, the U.S. Congress and President Trump stay focused on realistic policies in relation to Israel, the Palestinians and the entire Middle East.

As of today, more than 15,000 Israel supporters receive the FLAME Hotline at no charge every week. If you're not yet a subscriber, won't you join us in receiving these timely updates, so you can more effectively tell the truth about Israel? Just go to free subscription.

FLAME

Facts and Logic
About the Middle East
PO Box 3460
Berkeley, CA 94703

Copyright 2019 FLAME, All rights reserved

Unsubscribe