

Jordan formally ceded control of Judea-Samaria to Israel in their peace treaty of 1994—once more settling legal rights to this land.

As for Palestinian Arabs, feel what you may about whether this group “deserves” independence or is “morally entitled” to their own state. In point of fact, there is no nation of Palestine, and the Palestinians have never held sovereignty over any land anywhere. What’s more, the Palestinians have no claims to Judea-Samaria through legally binding actions of any world body.

Thus, to assert that Israel’s application of sovereignty would be “universally” considered illegal under international law misses the point. In actual fact, it’s not annexation—it’s restoration—and *it is not illegal*. Thankfully, broad consensus does not establish international law—rather binding facts, agreements and precedents do.

Indeed, if critical politicians truly care about the sanctity of international law, it’s their duty to fight courageously *against* such a legal perversion in the court of world opinion.

Second, the notion that Israel sovereignty over parts of Judea-Samaria would make a two-state solution impossible is only an opinion, not a fact.

Many people, including the current U.S. administration and a large number of Israelis and Arab leaders believe it is possible and are willing to put money and energy into making it happen . . . *given a willing Palestinian partner*.

Of course, that is the rub. Those who say the current U.S. peace proposal or extended Israeli sovereignty makes a two-state solution unworkable are simply reflecting the tired, decades-old position of the Palestinians.

The truth is, the Palestinians have rejected *every* proposed configuration of territory for peace over seven decades—largely because, as many Arabs frequently admit, no borders that include a Jewish state are acceptable to them.

Third, one prominent American politician claims that applying Israeli sovereignty in parts of Judea-Samaria would strangle any hopes of peace between Israel and the Palestinians.

Since the Palestinian Arabs have rejected peace proposals in 1948, 1967, 2000, 2001 and 2008—including generous offers of 97% of Judea-Samaria and a capital in Jerusalem—it’s rather difficult to say exactly what a deal-breaker looks like to the Palestinians. They haven’t even agreed to sit down to *discuss* peace for nine years.

It’s clear that the passage of time and historical developments have made previous land-for-peace offers non-operable— Israel surely won’t repeat them. Unfortunately, those who claim that Israeli sovereignty in Judea-Samaria would be a deal-breaker offer no realistic idea what the Palestinians *would* accept.

Finally, the claim that extension of Israeli sovereignty would alienate the American public could be true . . . *only* if those elected politicians and their pundit supporters continue to bad-mouth Israel unfairly on this issue.

If these American politicians support Israel—as they should—and explain to their constituents that Israel is making this move in a reasonable attempt to

editorial hasbarah messages placed in media nationwide every month: The dire threats from Iran, Hamas and Hizbollah, the injustice of BDS, Palestinian anti-Semitism and more. If you support a bold voice that tells the truth about Israel in American media, please donate now.

[DONATE NOW >>](#)



[SUBSCRIBE FREE](#)

**FLAME'S WEEKLY
HOTLINE E-
NEWSLETTER**



FLAME's Hotline e-newsletter keeps you up to date on the most important pro-Israel advocacy issues and features our choice of the week's most informative and thought-provoking article on Israel and the Middle East. If you only subscribe to one pro-Israel news service, make it the FLAME Hotline.

[SUBSCRIBE NOW >>](#)

establish security on its vulnerable eastern flank and to protect its citizens from attacks by enemies like Iran, their constituents will *not* turn against Israel.

In fact, the favor of the American people on whether Israel has rights and reasons to establish sovereignty in Judea-Samaria will largely be a function of how honestly and forcefully our thought leaders—politicians, the media and pro-Israel advocates—explain the truth.

I hope as you discuss Israel's plans to apply its laws to Judea-Samaria—with friends, family, colleagues and in letters to the editor—you'll emphasize that it's not Israel's actions blocking a Palestinian state.

Palestinians don't have independence because for almost three generations they've rejected every single peace proposal of any kind—including the latest one, which would give them 70% of Judea-Samaria and \$50 billion for development.

Surely Israel should not have to foreclose a bright, safe future simply because the Palestinians refuse to embrace *their* future.

I hope you'll also take a minute, while you have this material front and center, to visit FLAME's lively [Facebook page](#) and review the P.S. immediately below. It describes FLAME's new *hasbarah* campaign—which provides powerful arguments for maintaining strong sanctions against our enemy, Iran.

Best regards,

Jim Sinkinson

President, Facts and Logic About the Middle East (FLAME)

P.S. Some leftist European and American politicians have recently proposed easing sanctions on Iran as a humanitarian gesture in the face of Covid-19's devastating attack on the Islamic Republic. However, this would be an egregious mistake, just as tough U.S. sanctions are pressuring Iran to back off its imperialistic adventures across the Middle East—especially since U.S. sanctions do not restrict Iran from acquiring humanitarian medical supplies. That's why FLAME has created a new *hasbarah* message called "[Keep the Pressure on Iran](#)" I hope you'll review this convincing, fact-based paid editorial, which ran in the *Sunday New York Times* and *Washington Post*. It lays out the many dangers that Iran poses to the U.S., Israel and our other allies in the region and spells out why in fact we should consider *increasing* sanctions on Iran. This piece will also be sent to all members of Congress, Vice President Pence and President Trump. If you agree that this kind of public relations effort on Israel's behalf is critical, I urge you to support us. Remember: FLAME's powerful ability to influence public opinion—and U.S. support of Israel—comes from individuals like you, one by one. I hope you'll consider giving a donation now, as you're able—with \$500, \$250, \$100, or even \$18. (Remember, your donation to FLAME is tax deductible.) To donate online, just go to [donate](#) now. Now, more than ever, we need your support to ensure that the American people, the U.S. Congress and President Trump stay committed to realistic policies in relation to Israel, Iran and the entire

Middle East.

As of today, more than 15,000 Israel supporters receive the FLAME Hotline at no charge every week. If you're not yet a subscriber, won't you join us in receiving these timely updates, so you can more effectively tell the truth about Israel? Just go to [free subscription](#).

FLAME

Facts and Logic
About the Middle East
PO Box 3460
Berkeley, CA 94703

Copyright 2020 FLAME. All rights reserved.

[Unsubscribe](#)